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## Language Model

- Vocabulary $\mathscr{V}$ is a finite set of discrete symbols (e.g., words, characters); $\mathrm{V}=|\mathscr{V}|$
- $\mathscr{V}+$ is the infinite set of sequences of symbols from $\mathscr{V}$; each sequence ends with STOP
- $x \in \mathscr{V}+$


## Language Model

$$
P(w)=P\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right)
$$

$\mathrm{P}(" \mathrm{Call}$ me Ishmae|" $)=$
$\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{w}_{1}=\right.$ "call", $\mathrm{w}_{2}=$ "me", $\mathrm{w}_{3}=$ "Ishmael" $) \times \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{STOP})$

$$
\sum_{w \in V^{+}} P(w)=1
$$

$$
0 \leq P(w) \leq 1
$$

## Language Model

- Language models provide us with a way to quantify the likelihood of a sequence - i.e., plausible sentences.


## OCR

## To fee great Pompey paffe the Areets of Rome: And when you faw his Charioc but appeare, Haue you nor made an Vniuerfall hout, That Tyber trembled voderneath her bankes To heare the replication of your founds, Made in her Concaue Shores?

- to fee great Pompey paffe the Areets of Rome:
- to see great Pompey passe the streets of Rome:


## Machine translation

```
Italian - detected
```



Nel mezzo del cammin di nostra vita mi ritrovai per una selva oscura, ché la diritta via era smarrita.

Edit
In the middle of the walk of our lives I found myself in a dark forest, as the straight way was lost.

- Fidelity (to source text)
- Fluency (of the translation)


## Google

natural lan
natural language processing
natural language understanding
natural language processing with python
natural language generation

Report inappropriate predictions

## Speech Recognition



- 'Scuse me while I kiss the sky.
- 'Scuse me while I kiss this guy
- 'Scuse me while I kiss this fly.
- 'Scuse me while my biscuits fry


## Dialogue generation

Q: What is your favorite animal?
A: My favorite animal is a dog.
Q: Why?
A: Because dogs are loyal and friendly.
Q: What are two reasons that a dog might
be in a bad mood?
A: Two reasons that a dog might be in a
bad mood are if it is hungry or if it is hot.

Q: How many bonks are in a quoit?
A: There are three bonks in a quoit.
Q: How many rainbows does it take to jump from Hawaii to seventeen?
A: It takes two rainbows to jump from Hawaii
to seventeen.

Lacker (2020), "Giving GPT-3 a Turing Test" (https://lacker.io/ai/2020/07/06/giving-gpt-3-a-turing-test.html); Brown et al. (2020), "Language Models are Few-Shot Learners" (NeurIPS)

## Language Model

- Language modeling is the task of estimating $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{w})$
-Why is this hard?

P("It was the best of times, it was the worst of times")

## Chain rule (of probability)

$$
\begin{aligned}
P\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right) & =P\left(x_{1}\right) \\
& \times P\left(x_{2} \mid x_{1}\right) \\
& \times P\left(x_{3} \mid x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \\
& \times P\left(x_{4} \mid x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \\
& \times P\left(x_{5} \mid x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

P("It was the best of times, it was the worst of times")

## Chain rule (of probability)



[^0]
## Markov assumption

first-order

$$
P\left(x_{i} \mid x_{1}, \ldots x_{i-1}\right) \approx P\left(x_{i} \mid x_{i-1}\right)
$$

$$
P\left(x_{i} \mid x_{1}, \ldots x_{i-1}\right) \approx P\left(x_{i} \mid x_{i-2}, x_{i-1}\right)
$$

## Markov assumption

bigram model
(first-order markov)
trigram model (second-order markov)

$$
\prod_{i}^{n} P\left(w_{i} \mid w_{i-1}\right) \times P\left(\operatorname{STOP} \mid w_{n}\right)
$$

$$
\prod_{i}^{n} P\left(w_{i} \mid w_{i-2}, w_{i-1}\right)
$$

$$
\times P\left(\mathrm{STOP} \mid w_{n-1}, w_{n}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left(I t \mid \mathrm{START}_{1}, \mathrm{START}_{2}\right) \\
& P\left(\text { was } \mid \mathrm{START}_{2}, I t\right) \\
& P(\text { the } \mid I t, \text { was })
\end{aligned}
$$

"It was the best of times, it was the worst of times"

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(\text { times } \mid \text { worst }, \text { of }) \\
& P(\text { STOP } \mid \text { of, times })
\end{aligned}
$$

## Estimation

## unigram

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \prod_{i}^{n} P\left(w_{i}\right) \\
& \times P(S T O P)
\end{aligned}
$$

## bigram

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \prod_{i}^{n} P\left(w_{i} \mid w_{i-1}\right) \\
& \quad \times P\left(S T O P \mid w_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## trigram

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \prod_{i}^{n} P\left(w_{i} \mid w_{i-2}, w_{i-1}\right) \\
& \quad \times P\left(S T O P \mid w_{n-1}, w_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Maximum likelihood estimate

$$
\frac{c\left(w_{i}\right)}{N}
$$

$$
\frac{c\left(w_{i-1}, w_{i}\right)}{c\left(w_{i-1}\right)}
$$

$$
\frac{c\left(w_{i-2}, w_{i-1}, w_{i}\right)}{c\left(w_{i-2}, w_{i-1}\right)}
$$

## Generating



- What we learn in estimating language models is $P($ word | context), where context - at least here - is the previous $n$ words (for ngram of order n)
- We have one multinomial over the vocabulary (including STOP) for each context


## Generating

| context1 | context2 | generated <br> word |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| START | START | The |
| START | The | dog |
| The | dog | walked |
| dog | walked | in |

Aside: sampling?

## Sampling from a Multinomial



## Sampling from a Multinomial

$P(z \leq x)$


## Sampling from a Multinomial

Sample $p$ uniformly in $[0,1]$

Find the point CDF-1 ${ }^{-1}$ )


## Sampling from a Multinomial

Sample $p$ uniformly in $[0,1]$

Find the point CDF-1 ${ }^{-1}$ )


## Sampling from a Multinomial

Sample $p$ uniformly in $[0,1]$

Find the point CDF- ${ }^{-1}$ (p)


## Unigram model

- the around, she They I blue talking "Don't to and little come of
- on fallen used there. young people to Lázaro
- of the
- the of of never that ordered don't avoided to complaining.
- words do had men flung killed gift the one of but thing seen I plate Bradley was by small Kingmaker.


## Bigram Model

- "What the way to feel where we're all those ancients called me one of the Council member, and smelled Tales of like a Korps peaks."
- Tuna battle which sold or a monocle, I planned to help and distinctly.
- "I lay in the canoe "
- She started to be able to the blundering collapsed.
- "Fine."


## Trigram Model

- "I'll worry about it."
- Avenue Great-Grandfather Edgeworth hasn't gotten there.
- "If you know what. It was a photograph of seventeenth-century flourishin' To their right hands to the fish who would not care at all. Looking at the clock, ticking away like electronic warnings about wonderfully SAT ON FIFTH
- Democratic Convention in rags soaked and my past life, I managed to wring your neck a boss won't so David Pritchet giggled.
- He humped an argument but her bare He stood next to Larry, these days it will have no trouble Jay Grayer continued to peer around the Germans weren't going to faint in the


## 4gram Model

- Our visitor in an idiot sister shall be blotted out in bars and flirting with curly black hair right marble, wallpapered on screen credit."
- You are much instant coffee ranges of hills.
- Madison might be stored here and tell everyone about was tight in her pained face was an old enemy, trading-posts of the outdoors watching Anyog extended On my lips moved feebly.
- said.
- "I'm in my mind, threw dirt in an inch,' the Director.


## Evaluation

- The best evaluation metrics are external - how does a better language model influence the application you care about?
- Speech recognition (word error rate), machine translation (BLEU score), topic models (sensemaking)


## Evaluation

- A good language model should judge unseen real language to have high probability
- Perplexity = inverse probability of test data, averaged by word.
- To be reliable, the test data must be truly unseen (including knowledge of its vocabulary).

$$
\text { perplexity }=\sqrt[N]{\frac{1}{P\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right)}}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sqrt[n]{\frac{1}{\prod_{i}^{N} P\left(w_{i}\right)}} & =\left(\prod_{i}^{N} P\left(w_{i}\right)\right)^{-\frac{1}{N}} \\
& =\exp \log \left(\prod_{i}^{N} P\left(w_{i}\right)\right)^{-\frac{1}{N}} \\
& =\exp \left(-\frac{1}{N} \log \prod_{i}^{N} P\left(w_{i}\right)\right) \\
\text { perplexity } & =\exp \left(-\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i}^{N} \log P\left(w_{i}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Experiment design



## Perplexity

bigram model
(first-order markov)

$$
=\exp \left(-\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i}^{N} \log P\left(w_{i} \mid w_{i-1}\right)\right)
$$

trigram model
(second-order markov)

$$
=\exp \left(-\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i}^{N} \log P\left(w_{i} \mid w_{i-2}, w_{i-1}\right)\right)
$$

## Perplexity

| Model | Unigram | Bigram | Trigram |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Perplexity | 962 | 170 | 109 |

SLP3 4.3

## Smoothing

- When estimating a language model, we're relying on the data we've observed in a training corpus.
- Training data is a small (and biased) sample of the creativity of language.


## Data sparsity

|  | i | want | to | eat | chinese | food | lunch | spend |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| i | 5 | 827 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| want | 2 | 0 | 608 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 1 |
| to | 2 | 0 | 4 | 686 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 211 |
| eat | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 16 | 2 | 42 | 0 |
| chinese | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82 | 1 | 0 |
| food | 15 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| lunch | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| spend | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Figure 4.1 Bigram counts for eight of the words (out of $V=1446$ ) in the Berkeley Restaurant Project corpus of 9332 sentences. Zero counts are in gray.

- $P\left(w_{i}\right)=0$ causes $P(w)=0$. (Perplexity?)

$$
\text { perplexity }=\exp \left(-\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i}^{N} \log P\left(w_{i}\right)\right)
$$

## Smoothing

- One solution: add a little probability mass to every element.
maximum likelihood
estimate

$$
P\left(x_{i} \mid y\right)=\frac{n_{i, y}}{n_{y}}
$$

$$
P\left(x_{i} \mid y\right)=\frac{n_{i, y}+a}{n_{y}+V a}
$$

$$
\text { same a for all } x_{i}
$$

$$
V=\text { size of vocabulary }
$$

$$
P\left(x_{i} \mid y\right)=\frac{n_{i, y}+a_{i}}{n_{y}+\sum_{j=1}^{V} a_{j}}
$$



## Classification

A mapping $h$ from input data $\times$ (drawn from instance space $\boldsymbol{X}$ ) to a label (or labels) y from some enumerable output space $\mathscr{y}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathscr{X}=\text { set of all documents } \\
\mathscr{y}=\{\text { english, mandarin, greek, } \ldots\} \\
\text { x }=\text { a single document } \\
\text { y }=\text { ancient greek }
\end{gathered}
$$



## Classification

A mapping $h$ from input data $\times$ (drawn from instance space $\boldsymbol{X}$ ) to a label (or labels) y from some enumerable output space $\mathscr{y}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathscr{y}=\{\text { the, of, a, dog, iphone, ... }\} \\
\qquad \begin{array}{c}
x=(\text { context }) \\
y=\text { word }
\end{array}
\end{gathered}
$$

In an attempt to modernize how visitors experience its 19th-century building, the Metropolitan Museum of Art is planning to turn the large store off its Great Hall into an 11,500-square-foot gallery for its blockbuster Costume Institute exhibitions and to transform an entrance underneath the main staircase into a retail space and restaurant that will be open to the public even when the museum is $\qquad$

# Multiclass logistic regression 

$$
P(Y=y \mid X=x ; \beta)=\frac{\exp \left(x^{\top} \beta_{y}\right)}{\sum_{y^{\prime} \in \mathcal{Y}} \exp \left(x^{\top} \beta_{y^{\prime}}\right)}
$$

output space

$$
\mathcal{Y}=\{1, \ldots, K\}
$$

## Language Model

- We can use multiclass logistic regression for language modeling by treating the vocabulary as the output space

$$
\mathcal{Y}=\mathcal{V}
$$

In an attempt to modernize how visitors experience its 19th-century building, the Metropolitan Museum of Art is planning to turn the large store off its Great Hall into an 11,500-square-foot gallery for its blockbuster Costume Institute exhibitions and to transform an entrance underneath the main staircase into a retail space and restaurant that will be open to the public even when the museum is $\qquad$ .
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In an attempt to modernize

## Richer representations

- Log-linear models give us the flexibility of encoding richer representations of the context we are conditioning on.
- We can reason about any observations from the entire history and not just the local context.


## Tradeoffs

- Richer representations = more parameters, higher likelihood of overfitting
- Much slower to train than estimating the parameters of a classical model

$$
P(Y=y \mid X=x ; \beta)=\frac{\exp \left(x^{\top} \beta_{y}\right)}{\sum_{y^{\prime} \in \mathcal{Y}} \exp \left(x^{\top} \beta_{y^{\prime}}\right)}
$$

input features
output
$\ldots$
$w_{i-1}=i s$
$w_{i-1}=k$.
$w_{i-1}=m$.
$\ldots$
$w_{i-2}=\log$
$\ldots$


100K feature space $\times 100 \mathrm{~K}$ vocab $=1 \mathrm{~T}$ params

## Activity

8.lm/ExploreLM.ipynb


[^0]:    P("times" | "It was the best of times, it was the worst of" )

