Cooperativism and Human-Computer Interaction

Anton Fedosov

Faculty of Informatics Università della Svizzera italiana Lugano 6900, Switzerland anton.fedosov@usi.ch

Tawanna Dillahunt

School of Information, University of Michigan Ann Arbor, MI 48109 tdillahu@umich.edu Department of Computer & Systems Sciences, Stockholm University Stockholm, Sweden airi@dsv.su.se

Ann Light

Airi Lampinen

University of Sussex Brighton, BN1 9RH, UK Ann.Light@sussex.ac.uk

Coye Cheshire

School of Information, UC Berkeley Berkeley, CA 94720 coye@ischool.berkeley.edu

ABSTRACT

If social, economic and environmental sustainability are linked, then support for the increasing number of non-profit groups and member-owned organizations offering what Trebor Scholz has called "platform cooperativism" [17] has never been more important. Together, these organizations not only tackle issues their members identify in the world of work, but also provide network-driven collections of shared things (e.g., books, tools) and resources (e.g., woodworking spaces, fab labs) that benefit local communities, potentially changing, not just use of resources at community level, but socio-economic structures on the ground (e.g., [15]).

CHI'19 Extended Abstracts, May 4-9, 2019, Glasgow, Scotland UK

ACM ISBN 978-1-4503-5971-9/19/05.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1145/3290607.3311751

Permission to make digital or hard copies of part or all of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for third-party components of this work must be honored. For all other uses, contact the Owner/Author.

^{© 2019} Copyright is held by the owner/author(s).

KEYWORDS

Cooperatives; sharing economy; collaborative consumption; platform cooperativism. In contrast to for-profit services often associated with the sharing economy (e.g., Uber, Airbnb), platform co-ops attempt to advocate ecological, economic and social sustainability, with the goal to promoting a fairer distribution of goods and labor, ultimately creating a stronger sense of community. While some HCI sub-communities (e.g., CSCW) have started to explore this emergent phenomenon, especially leveraging ethnographic research methods, researchers have called for more diverse HCI approaches to address the growing scope of challenges within platform co-ops, member-driven exchange systems, and cooperativism more broadly. This SIG aims to bring together researchers from different HCI sub-communities to identify future research directions in HCI around cooperativism and platforms.

INTRODUCTION

New socio-economic models of shared use are driving innovation in networked interactive technologies. Rapid adoption of systems of shared use, allowing people to coordinate, acquire, distribute, and temporarily adopt many kinds of resources have led to what Trebor Scholz calls "platform cooperativism" [17]. These platform co-ops and member-owned collections prioritize environmental, social and cultural values within their communities. Scholz and Schneider [18] provide examples of such co-ops, including online market places (e.g., Fairmondo, Stocksy United), financial services (e.g., FairCoop, Robin Hood Collective), swapping platforms (e.g., TimesFree), music streaming services (e.g., Resonate) and more. These initiatives have different problems to solve from the platforms which seek to create global monopolies. Prior research has identified several challenges that resource-sharing communities and platform co-ops [17] face: (a) transience among and anonymity of members of resource sharing organizations [1]; (b) poor visibility of the activities of their members and lack of accountability for shared resources [19]; (c) emergent issues of trust and reciprocity within membership and supporting online exchange platforms [8,10]; (d) limited access to shared resources for underprivileged populations [5]; (e) failure to clearly convey social and personal benefits of participation [2]; (f) lack of competitiveness, such as lack of public profile and long-term funding, compared with multinational corporations [17]; and (g) piecemeal use of interactive technologies to support their activities [3,12].

To date, HCI scholars have conducted a systematic literature review on the sharing economy in computing [6,7], published a topical journal issue (e.g. [4]) and run a number of field studies with the goal of informing the design process for sharing in its various forms and contexts (e.g. [13,14]). Efforts have been made to foster collaboration and build community within CHI and CSCW: Researchers have organized panels on the sharing economy (e.g. [9]), formulated design statements regarding sustainable sharing in local communities [16] and examined power aspects and asymmetries around digitally-mediated labor [12]. This SIG develops upon these efforts by considering the design of cooperatives as a beneficial form of sharing economy, exploring what platforms for resource management can enable. It intends to bring together HCI researchers, designers, technologists and sharing economy domain experts in the CHI community to provide multidisciplinary perspectives on the challenges faced and the opportunities that accompany them.

SIG GOALS

This SIG will help bring focus on a critical topic (how resources are shared equitably as society changes to meet sustainability goals) and also focus to the many initiatives that are addressing some part of the socio-technical picture in HCI.

This SIG aims to (1) facilitate discussion on the role of the HCI community in furthering our understanding of the emergent challenges of platform co-ops and other alternative exchange models and the role of design and technology to approach those challenges; (2) articulate a research agenda and strengthen the nascent research community interested in exploring socio-technical aspects for the local collaborative economies and in particular platform co-ops. We will reach out to researchers from the *CSCW*, *C&T*, *PD*, *DIS*, *Ubicomp*, and *HCI and Sustainability* sub-communities who will attend CHI 2019 in order to reflect on political and methodological considerations within HCI and (Platform) Cooperatives, address the lack of quantitative and system-building approaches in "the majority of HCI research on the sharing economy" [6], and energize efforts to create and foster alternatives to currently dominant forms of platform economy.

To achieve our goals, we will conduct facilitated discussion, after a round of introductions and an introduction to the challenges facing platform co-ops and other member-owned alternatives. Participants will be split into break-out groups (3-4 people) based on their interests. Each group will choose one challenge to work on. The goal is to identify distinctive opportunity areas and formulate detailed questions as to how the HCI community can attend to the issues of platform cooperatives.

EXPECTED OUTCOMES

By synthesizing discussion round challenges of platform co-ops, we will produce a blueprint for a future research agenda in this emergent space in HCI. We will also plan a workshop for a SIGCHI specialized conference to expand community participation. Last, we will produce a report on our SIG website (<u>https://uc.inf.usi.ch/event/sig-chi-2019/</u>) detailing the outcomes of discussions and disseminate it within the "From Sharing to Caring" COST Action (<u>http://sharingandcaring.eu</u>), an international network of actors in and beyond academia, focused on developing socio-technical implications of the collaborative economy, and "Not-Equal" (<u>https://not-equal.tech</u>), a UK network on social justice, exploring the future of work(forces).

REFERENCES

- [1] Fleura Bardhi and Giana M. Eckhardt. 2012. Access-based consumption: The case of car sharing. *Journal of consumer research*, *39*(4), pp.881-898.
- [2] Victoria M.E. Bellotti, Sara Cambridge, Karen Hoy, Patrick C. Shih, Lisa Renery Handalian, Kyungsik Han, and John M. Carroll. 2014. Towards community-centered support for peer-to-peer service exchange: rethinking the timebanking metaphor. In Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI '14). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 2975-2984.

- [3] Susanne Bødker, Peter Lyle, and Joanna Saad-Sulonen. 2017. Untangling the Mess of Technological Artifacts: Investigating Community Artifact Ecologies. In Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Communities and Technologies. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 246-255.
- [4] John M. Carroll and Victoria Bellotti. 2015. Peer--to-Peer Exchange and the Sharing Economy: Analysis, Designs, and Implications. *Interaction Design and Architecture (s), 24.*
- [5] Tawanna R. Dillahunt and Amelia R. Malone. 2015. The Promise of the Sharing Economy among Disadvantaged Communities. In Proceedings of the 33rd Annual ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI '15). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 2285-2294.
- [6] Tawanna R. Dillahunt, Xinyi Wang, Earnest Wheeler, Hao Fei Cheng, Brent J. Hecht, and Haiyi Zhu. 2017. The Sharing Economy in Computing: A Systematic Literature Review. PACMHCI, 1(CSCW), pp.38-1.
- [7] Anton Fedosov, Jeremías Albano, and Marc Langheinrich. 2018. Supporting the design of sharing economy services: learning from technology-mediated sharing practices of both digital and physical artifacts. In Proceedings of the 10th Nordic Conference on Human-Computer Interaction (NordiCHI '18). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 323-337.
- [8] Airi Lampinen, Vilma Lehtinen, Coye Cheshire, and Emmi Suhonen. 2013. Indebtedness and reciprocity in local online exchange. In Proceedings of the 2013 conference on Computer supported cooperative work (CSCW '13). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 661-672.
- [9] Airi Lampinen, Victoria Bellotti, Andrés Monroy-Hernández, Coye Cheshire, and Alexandra Samuel. 2015. Studying the "Sharing Economy": Perspectives to Peer-to-Peer Exchange. In Proceedings of the 18th ACM Conference Companion on Computer Supported Cooperative Work & Social Computing (CSCW'15 Companion). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 117-121.
- [10] Airi Lampinen, Victoria Bellotti, Coye Cheshire, and Mary Gray. 2016. CSCW and the Sharing Economy: The Future of Platforms as Sites of Work Collaboration and Trust. In Proceedings of the 19th ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work and Social Computing Companion (CSCW '16 Companion). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 491-497.
- [11] Airi Lampinen, Christoph Lutz, Gemma Newlands, Ann Light, Nicole Immorlica. 2018. Power Struggles in the Digital Economy: Platforms, Workers, and Markets. In Proceedings of the 21st ACM Conference Companion on Computer Supported Cooperative Work & Social Computing (CSCW'18 Companion). ACM
- [12] Airi Lampinen, Moira McGregor, Rob Comber, and Barry Brown. 2018. Member-Owned Alternatives: Exploring Participatory Forms of Organising with Cooperatives. Proc. ACM Hum.-Comput. Interact. 2, CSCW, Article 100 (November 2018), 19 pages.
- [13] Ann Light and Clodagh Miskelly, 2014. Design for sharing. Northumbria University/The Sustainable Society Network.
- [14] Ann Light and Clodagh Miskelly, 2015. Sharing Economy vs Sharing Cultures? Designing for social, economic and environmental good, IxD&A, 24, 49-62
- [15] Ann Light (forthcoming): Designing the Economics of the Sharing Economy: Towards Sustainable Management. Handbook of the Sharing Economy, edited by Russell Belk, Giana Eckhardt ,Fleura Bardhi, and Edward Elgar.
- [16] Lone Malmborg, Ann Light, Geraldine Fitzpatrick, Victoria Bellotti, and Margot Brereton. 2015. Designing for Sharing in Local Communities. In Proceedings of the 33rd Annual ACM Conference Extended Abstracts on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI EA '15). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 2357-2360
- [17] Trebor Scholz. 2016. Platform cooperativism. *Challenging the corporate sharing economy*. New York, NY: Rosa Luxemburg Foundation.
- [18] Trebor Scholz and Nathan Schneider. eds., 2017. Ours to hack and to own: The rise of platform cooperativism, a new vision for the future of work and a fairer internet. OR books.
- [19] Emmi Suhonen, Airi Lampinen, Coye Cheshire, and Judd Antin. 2010. Everyday favors: a case study of a local online gift exchange system. In Proceedings of the 16th ACM international conference on Supporting group work (GROUP '10). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 11-20.