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Four score and seven years ago our fathers brought forth on this continent, a new nation, conceived in Liberty, and dedicated to the proposition that all men are created equal. Now we are engaged in a great civil war, testing whether that nation, or any nation so conceived and so dedicated, can long endure. We are met on a great battlefield of that war. We have come to dedicate a portion of that field, as a final resting place for those who here gave their lives that that nation might live. It is altogether fitting and proper that we should do this. But, in a larger sense, we can not dedicate -- we can not consecrate -- we can not hallow -- this ground. The brave men, living and dead, who struggled here, have consecrated it, far above our poor power to add or detract. The world will little note, nor long remember what we say here, but it can never forget what they did here. It is for us the living, rather, to be dedicated here to the unfinished work which they who fought here have thus far so nobly advanced. It is rather for us to be here dedicated to the great task remaining before us -- that from these honored dead we take increased devotion to that cause for which they gave the last full measure of devotion -- that we here highly resolve that these dead shall not have died in vain -- that this nation, under God, shall have a new birth of freedom -- and that government of the people, by the people, for the people, shall not perish from the earth.
Four score and seven years ago our fathers brought forth on this continent, a new nation, conceived in Liberty, and dedicated to the proposition that all men are created equal. Now we are engaged in a great civil war, testing whether that nation, or any nation so conceived and so dedicated, can long endure. We are met on a great battle-field of that war. We have come to dedicate a portion of that field, as a final resting place for those who here gave their lives that that nation might live. It is altogether fitting and proper that we should do this. But, in a larger sense, we can not dedicate -- we can not consecrate -- we can not hallow -- this ground. The brave men, living and dead, who struggled here, have consecrated it, far above our poor power to add or detract. The world will little note, nor long remember what we say here, but it can never forget what they did here. It is for us the living, rather, to be dedicated here to the unfinished work which they who fought here have thus far so nobly advanced. It is rather for us to be here dedicated to the great task remaining before us -- that from these honored dead we take increased devotion to that cause for which they gave the last full measure of devotion -- that we here highly resolve that these dead shall not have died in vain -- that this nation, under God, shall have a new birth of freedom -- and that government of the people, by the people, for the people, shall not perish from the earth.
We are met on a great battle-field of that war.

\( \lambda x. \lambda y. \text{meet}(x, y) \) \quad \lambda x. \text{battlefield}(x) \quad \lambda x. \text{war}(x) \)
We are met on a great battle-field of that war.
Four score and seven years ago our fathers brought forth on this continent, a new nation, conceived in Liberty, and dedicated to the proposition that all men are created equal. Now we are engaged in a great civil war, testing whether that nation, or any nation so conceived and so dedicated, can long endure. We are met on a great battle-field of that war. We have come to dedicate a portion of that field, as a final resting place for those who here gave their lives that that nation might live. It is altogether fitting and proper that we should do this. But, in a larger sense, we can not dedicate -- we can not consecrate -- we can not hallow -- this ground. The brave men, living and dead, who struggled here, have consecrated it, far above our poor power to add or detract. The world will little note, nor long remember what we say here, but it can never forget what they did here. It is for us the living, rather, to be dedicated here to the unfinished work which they who fought here have thus far so nobly advanced. It is rather for us to be here dedicated to the great task remaining before us -- that from these honored dead we take increased devotion to that cause for which they gave the last full measure of devotion -- that we here highly resolve that these dead shall not have died in vain -- that this nation, under God, shall have a new birth of freedom -- and that government of the people, by the people, for the people, shall not perish from the earth.
Discourse

• Discourse covers linguistic expression beyond the boundary of the sentence.
  
  • Dialogues: the structure of turns in conversation
  
  • Monologues: the structure of entire passages, documents
LUKE
I'll never join you!

VADER
If you only knew the power of the dark side. Obi-Wan never told you what happened to your father.

LUKE
He told me enough! It was you who killed him.

VADER
No. I am your father.

LUKE
No. No. That's not true! That's impossible!

VADER
Search your feelings. You know it to be true.

LUKE
No! No! No!
LUKE
I'll never join you!

VADER
If you only knew the power of the dark side. Obi-Wan never told you what happened to your father.

LUKE
He told me enough! It was you who killed him.

VADER
No. I am your father.

LUKE
No. No. That's not true! That's impossible!

VADER
Search your feelings. You know it to be true.

LUKE
No! No! No!
LUKE
I'll never join you!

VADER
If you only knew the power of the dark side. Obi-Wan never told you what happened to your father.

LUKE
He told me enough! It was you who killed him.

VADER
No. I am your father.

LUKE
No. No. That's not true! That's impossible!

VADER
Search your feelings. You know it to be true.

LUKE
No! No! No!
Coreference resolution

• “Trump met Putin today; he’s the leader of the US.”
Coreference resolution

Barack Hussein Obama II (Bush Hussein Obama) was born on August 4, 1961. He is the 44th and current President of the United States, and the first African American to hold the office. Born in Honolulu, Hawaii, Obama is a graduate of Columbia University and Harvard Law School, where he served as president of the Harvard Law Review. He was a community organizer in Chicago before earning his law degree. He worked as a civil rights attorney and taught constitutional law at the University of Chicago Law School from 1992 to 2004. He served three terms representing the 13th District in the Illinois Senate from 1997 to 2004, running unsuccessfully for the United States House of Representatives in 2000.
Coreference resolution

Did Barack Obama die in an automobile accident in 1982?
“Victoria Chen, Chief Financial Officer of Megabucks Banking Corp since 2004, saw her pay jump 20%, to $1.3 million, as the 37-year-old also became the Denver-based financial services company’s president. It has been ten years since she came to Megabucks from rival Lotsabucks.”
“Victoria Chen, Chief Financial Officer of Megabucks Banking Corp since 2004, saw her pay jump 20%, to $1.3 million, as the 37-year-old also became the Denver-based financial services company’s president. It has been ten years since she came to Megabucks from rival Lotsabucks.”
“Referring expression”

The text that points to entities.

“Victoria Chen, Chief Financial Officer of Megabucks Banking Corp since 2004, saw her pay jump 20%, to $1.3 million, as the 37-year-old also became the Denver-based financial services company’s president. It has been ten years since she came to Megabucks from rival Lotsabucks.”
Coreference

“coreference”

The set of text strings that all refer to the same ENTITY.

“Victoria Chen, Chief Financial Officer of Megabucks Banking Corp since 2004, saw her pay jump 20%, to $1.3 million, as the 37-year-old also became the Denver-based financial services company’s president. It has been ten years since she came to Megabucks from rival Lotsabucks.”
Event coreference

I stubbed my toe on the chair and it really hurt.
Frege

Mode of presentation (Sinn) vs. reference

• The morning star/the evening star

• Mark Twain/Samuel Clemens
Worth solving?
English constraints

- **Number**
  - I have a car. They are blue [*they = car]  

- **Gender**
  - My dad is shoveling snow. He’s cold. [*he = snow]  

- **Person**
  - We’re watching a movie. He likes it [*he = you and I]
English exceptions

• Number
  • A: I have a new friend.
    B: What’s their name?
  • We are a grandmother (Margaret Thatcher)

• Gender
  • “The Nellie, a cruising yawl, swung to her anchor without a flutter of the sails, and was at rest.” (Heart of Darkness)
  • It puts the lotion in the basket (Silence of the Lambs)

• Person
  • ???
English preferences

• Recency: more recent NPs are preferred

• Grammatical role: subjects are preferred
  • Billy Bones went to the bar with Jim Hawkins. He called for a glass of rum.

• Repeated mention: more discourse-salient NPs are preferred

• Parallelism
  • Long John Silver went with Jim to the Old Parrot. Billy Bones went with him to the Old Anchor inn.

• Verb semantics

• Selectional restrictions
Verb semantics

• John telephoned Bill. He lost the laptop

• John criticized Bill. He lost the laptop.
Winograd challenge

- The trophy would not fit in the brown suitcase because it was too big. What was too big?

- The town councilors refused to give the demonstrators a permit because they feared violence. Who feared violence?

- The town councilors refused to give the demonstrators a permit because they advocated violence. Who advocated violence?

http://www.commonsensereasoning.org
Selectional restrictions

- John parked his car in the garage after driving it around for hours.
Hobbs (1978) algorithm

```
NP3
  Det  N  PP
    the  castle in  NP5
          N  Camelot

VP  remained  NP4
    Det  N  PP  until  NP2
        the  residence  PP  N  536
            of  NP6
                Det  N  king

S2

NP1
  Det  N  PP  moved  NP
    it  to  NP
          N  London

Fig. 2.
```
1. Begin at the noun phrase (NP) node immediately dominating the pronoun.

2. Go up the tree to the first NP or sentence (S) node encountered. Call this node X, and call the path used to reach it p.

3. Traverse all branches below node X to the left of path p in a left-to-right, breadth-first fashion. Propose as the antecedent any NP node that is encountered which has an NP or S node between it and X.

4. If node X is the highest S node in the sentence, traverse the surface parse trees of previous sentences in the text in order of recency, the most recent first; each tree is traversed in a left-to-right, breadth-first manner, and when an NP node is encountered, it is proposed as antecedent. If X is not the highest S node in the sentence, continue to step 5.
5. From node X, go up the tree to the first NP or S node encountered. Call this new node X, and call the path traversed to reach it p.

6. If X is an NP node and if the path p to X did not pass through the Nominal node that X immediately dominates, propose X as the antecedent.

7. Traverse all branches below node X to the left of path p in a left-to-right, breadth-first manner. Propose any NP node encountered as the antecedent.

8. If X is an S node, traverse all branches of node X to the right of path p in a left-to-right, breadth-first manner, but do not go below any NP or S node encountered. Propose any NP node encountered as the antecedent.

Stanford “Sieve”

Sequence of pattern matching rules starting at high precision coreference links, progressing to higher recall.
Mention Detection

• All NPs, possessive pronouns, and named entity mentions are candidate mentions. Recall is more important than precision.

• Filters to remove candidates:
  • Remove mentions embedded within larger mentions with same headword
  • Remove numeric quantities (100 miles, 9%)
  • Remove existential there, it
  • Remove adjectival forms of nations
  • Remove 8 stop words (there, ltd., hmm)

Lee et al, 2011
John is a musician. He played a new song. A girl was listening to the song. “It is my favorite,” John said to her.
Classification

A mapping $h$ from input data $x$ (drawn from instance space $\mathcal{X}$) to a label (or labels) $y$ from some enumerable output space $\mathcal{Y}$

$\mathcal{X} = \text{set of all documents}$
$\mathcal{Y} = \{\text{english, mandarin, greek, ...}\}$

$x = \text{a single document}$
$y = \text{ancient greek}$
**Classification**

Positive examples = pronouns paired with closest antecedent (or coreference chain)

Negative examples = entities not in coreference chain.
Classification

For every possible antecedent y for pronoun x, we frame a binary classification: is y coreferent with x? Every noun phrase is a candidate antecedent.

- I
- you
- you
- the power
- the power of the dark side
- the dark side
- Obi-Wan
- you
- your
- your father
- He
- me
- you
Classifier

Let’s brainstorm a supervised classifier.
Features

- John saw a beautiful 1961 Ford Falcon at the used car dealership
- He showed it to Bob.
- He bought it.
Features

- Unary features (valid of a single token)
  - token, lemma, part of speech
  - salience

- Binary features (valid of a pair of tokens)
  - number agreement (plural pronoun/plural NP)
  - compatible number (plural pronoun/??? NP)
  - gender agreement
  - compatible gender
  - sentence distance
  - Hobbs distance
  - syntax: grammatical role
Nominal coreference

- Pronominal coreference is a subset of the full coreference resolution problem because pronouns are nearly always coreferent.

- How would we extend the classification approach to general nominal referents?
Evaluation

• Evaluating general reference resolution (i.e., all noun phrase entities) is more complicated than straightforward accuracy/precision/recall

\[
B^3_{\text{precision}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i}^{n} \frac{|Gold_i \cap System_i|}{|System_i|}
\]

\[
B^3_{\text{recall}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i}^{n} \frac{|Gold_i \cap System_i|}{|Gold_i|}
\]
LUKE
I'll never join you!

VADER
If you only knew the power of the dark side. Obi-Wan never told you what happened to your father.

LUKE
He told me enough! It was you who killed him.

VADER
No. I am your father.

LUKE
No. No. That's not true! That's impossible!

VADER
Search your feelings. You know it to be true.

LUKE
No! No! No!
LUKE
I'll never join you!

VADER
If you only knew the power of the dark side. Obi-Wan never told you what happened to your father.

LUKE
He told me enough! It was you who killed him.

VADER
No. I am your father.

LUKE
No. No. That's not true! That's impossible!

VADER
Search your feelings. You know it to be true.

LUKE
No! No! No!
LUKE
I'll never join you!

VADER
If you only knew the power of the dark side. Obi-Wan never told you what happened to your father.

LUKE
He told me enough! It was you who killed him.

VADER
No. I am your father.

LUKE
No. No. That's not true! That's impossible!

VADER
Search your feelings. You know it to be true.

LUKE
No! No! No!
LUKE
I'll never join you!

VADER
If you only knew the power of the dark side. Obi-Wan never told you what happened to your father.

LUKE
He told me enough! It was you who killed him.

VADER
No. I am your father.

LUKE
No. No. That's not true! That's impossible!

VADER
Search your feelings. You know it to be true.

LUKE
No! No! No!
LUKE
I'll never join you!

VADER
If you only knew the power of the dark side. Obi-Wan never told you what happened to your father.

LUKE
He told me enough! It was you who killed him.

VADER
No. I am your father.

LUKE
No. No. That's not true! That's impossible!

VADER
Search your feelings. You know it to be true.

LUKE
No! No! No!

Example system output: 4 entities

3 = {I, me, l}
8 = {you, you, you, your, you, your, your, you}
3 = {Obi-Wan, your father, your father}
2 = {He, him}
Evaluation

- More complicated than straightforward accuracy/precision/recall

\[ B^3_{\text{precision}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} \frac{|\text{Gold}_i \cap \text{System}_i|}{|\text{System}_i|} \]

\[ B^3_{\text{recall}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} \frac{|\text{Gold}_i \cap \text{System}_i|}{|\text{Gold}_i|} \]

n ranges over all entities in gold and system output
LUKE
I'll never join you!

VADER
If you only knew the power of the dark side. Obi-Wan never told you what happened to your father.

LUKE
He told me enough! It was you who killed him.

VADER
No. I am your father.

LUKE
No. No. That's not true! That's impossible!

VADER
Search your feelings. You know it to be true.

LUKE
No! No! No!
I'll never join you!

VADER
If you only knew the power of the dark side. Obi-Wan never told you what happened to your father.

LUKE
He told me enough! It was you who killed him.

VADER
No. I am your father.

LUKE
No. No. That's not true! That's impossible!

VADER
Search your feelings. You know it to be true.

LUKE
No! No! No!

| Gold_i ∩ System_i | = 2 | Gold_i | = 6 | System_i | = 8
I'll never join you!

If you only knew the power of the dark side. Obi-Wan never told you what happened to your father.

He told me enough! It was you who killed him.

No. I am your father.

No. No. That's not true! That's impossible!

Search your feelings. You know it to be true.

No! No! No!

| Gold_i ∩ System_i | = 6 | | Gold_i | = 8 | | System_i | = 8 |
LUKE
I'll never join you!

VADER
If you only knew the power of the dark side. Obi-Wan never told you what happened to your father.

LUKE
He told me enough! It was you who killed him.

VADER
No. I am your father.

LUKE
No. No. That's not true! That's impossible!

VADER
Search your feelings. You know it to be true.

LUKE
No! No! No!

| Gold_i ∩ System_i | = 1 | | Gold_i | = 2 | | System_i | = 3
I'll never join you!

VADER
You only knew the power of the dark side. Obi-Wan never told you what happened to your father.

LUKE
He told me enough! It was you who killed him.

VADER
No. I am your father.

LUKE
No. No. That's not true! That's impossible!

VADER
Search your feelings. You know it to be true.

LUKE
No! No! No!
Evaluation

- More complicated than straightforward accuracy/precision/recall

\[
B^3_{\text{precision}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i}^{n} \frac{|Gold_i \cap System_i|}{|System_i|}
\]

\[
B^3_{\text{recall}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i}^{n} \frac{|Gold_i \cap System_i|}{|Gold_i|}
\]

n ranges over all entities in gold and system output
“Between him and Darcy there was a very steady friendship, in spite of great opposition of character. Bingley was endeared to Darcy by the easiness, openness, and ductility of his temper, though no disposition could offer a greater contrast to his own, and though with his own he never appeared dissatisfied. On the strength of Darcy's regard, Bingley had the firmest reliance, and of his judgement the highest opinion. In understanding, Darcy was the superior. Bingley was by no means deficient, but Darcy was clever. He was at the same time haughty, reserved, and fastidious, and his manners, though well-bred, were not inviting. In that respect his friend had greatly the advantage. Bingley was sure of being liked wherever he appeared, Darcy was continually giving offense.”
• The Clinton campaign is circulating a fake photo of Barack Obama in Muslim clothes to damage his reputation. In the photo, Obama wears a long sari-like garment.

• The Clinton campaign is circulating a fake photo of Barack Obama in Muslim clothes to damage his reputation, but Obama never wore Muslim clothes.

Recasens et al. 2010
You cannot read Cyril Connolly for very long without wanting to acquire —and then developing— a relationship with the personality of the man himself. [. . . ] With Connolly there is a marked difference and the difference is that the artist and the man are so conjoined and intermingled that you cannot savour the one without the other and vice versa.

Recasens et al. 2010
Non-identity

• Non-Identity. The two NPs point to two different DEs. Even if they share any feature, they are not ‘the same thing.’

• “President Samaranch sent a letter to Sydney in which he asked for information. A similar missive has also been received by all the candidate cities to host the Olympic Games of 1996.”

Recasens et al. 2010
Identity

• Identity. The two NPs point to the same DE (i.e., they have the same set of attributes, as far as one can tell). They are (almost certainly) ‘the same thing.’

• “It began when a Hasidic Jewish family bought one of the town’s two meat-packing plants 13 years ago. First they brought in other Hasidic Jews, then Mexicans, Palestinians, Ukrainians.”

Recasens et al. 2010
Identity

• Identity. The two NPs point to the same DE (i.e., they have the same set of attributes, as far as one can tell). They are (almost certainly) ‘the same thing.’

• “It began when a Hasidic Jewish family bought one of the town’s two meat-packing plants 13 years ago. First they brought in other Hasidic Jews, then Mexicans, Palestinians, Ukrainians.”

Recasens et al. 2010
Near-identity

• A proper noun appears first, and a subsequent noun phrase refers to some aspect of the discourse entity
  
  • Role
  • Location
  • Organization
  • Information realization
  • Representation
  • Other

Recasens et al. 2010
Role near-identity: A specific role or function performed by a human, animal or object, is distinguished from their other facets.

“Your father was the greatest” commented an anonymous old lady while she was shaking Alessandro’s hand —Gassman’s best known son. “I will miss the actor, but I will be lacking my father especially,” he said.

Recasens et al. 2010
Location near-identity: The name of a location can be used to describe facets such as the physical place, the place associated with a (political) organization, the population living in that location, the ruling government, an affiliated organization, an event celebrated at that location, etc.

“The Jordan authorities arrested, on arriving in Iraq, an Italian pilot who violated the air embargo to this country.”

Recasens et al. 2010
Organization near-identity: The name of a company or other social organization can be used to describe facets such as the legal organization itself, the facility that houses the organization or one of its branches, the company shares, a product manufactured by the company, etc.

“The strategy has been a popular one for McDonalds . . . It’s a very wise move on for them because if they would have only just original McDonalds, I don’t think they would have done so great.”

Recasens et al. 2010
Information realization near-identity: A discourse entity corresponding to an informational object (e.g., story, law, review, etc.) can be split according to the format in which the information is presented or manifested (FRBR abstraction hierarchy)

She hasn’t seen *Gone with the Wind*, but she’s read it.

Recasens et al. 2010
Representation near-identity: One noun phrase is a representation of the other--as in a picture or a starring of a person, or a toy replica of a real object.

We stand staring at two paintings of Queen Elizabeth. In the one on the left, she is dressed as Empress of India. In the one on the right, she is dressed in an elegant blue gown.
Other near-identity: (Any other case of metonymy not captured by the other classes)

*Chevrolet* is a brand of automobile produced by General Motors Company. *It* is feminine because of its sound.

Recasens et al. 2010
Stuff-object near-identity: One noun phrase expresses the constituent material of the other noun phrase. Unlike components, the stuff of which a thing is made cannot be separated from the object.

Bangladesh Prime Minister Hasina and President Clinton expressed the hope that this trend will continue ...Both the US government and American businesses welcomed the willingness of Bangladesh.
Part-whole near-identity: One noun phrase mentions a part to refer to the whole expressed by the other noun phrase.

The City Council approved legislation prohibiting selling **alcoholic drinks** during night hours ...Bars not officially categorized as bars will not be allowed to sell alcohol.

Recasens et al. 2010
Class near-identity: Two noun phrases share an is-a relationship, but they stand in a different position in the categorical hierarchy so that one can be viewed as more general or specific to the other.

Diego looked for information about his character in the novel forgetting that Saramago does not usually describe them.

Recasens et al. 2010
Place near-identity: The same discourse entity is instantiated in different physical locations, each time resulting in a different discourse entity due to the change in the spatial feature. It is possible for them to coexist but not in the same place.

New York’s New Year’s Eve is one of the most widely attended parties in the world . . . Celebrating it in the Southern Hemisphere is always memorable, especially for those of us in the Northern Hemisphere.

Recasens et al. 2010
Time near-identity: The same discourse entity is instantiated at different times

On homecoming night Postville feels like Hometown, USA, but a look around this town of 2,000 shows it’s become a miniature Ellis Island . . . For those who prefer the old Postville, Mayor John Hyman has a simple answer.

Recasens et al. 2010
Numerical function near-identity: The two noun phrases refer to the same function (e.g., price, age, rate, etc.) but have different numerical value due to a change in time or a change in space.

At 8, the temperature rose to 99°. This morning it was 85°.

Recasens et al. 2010
Role near-identity: The two NPs refer to the same role (e.g., president, director, etc.) but is filled by a different person due to a change in time or space.

In France, the president is elected for a term of seven years, while in the United States he is elected for a term of four years.

Recasens et al. 2010
Singletons

• At test time we don’t have access to true mentions


Recasens et al. 2013
Singletons

• Most noun phrases in a discourse are not coreferent. They are singleton mentions.

Recasens et al. 2013
Singletons

• We can build a classifier to predict for any noun phrase, whether it will be a part of a coreference chain or a singleton (78% accurate).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Estimate</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type = pronoun</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type = proper noun</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animacy = inanimate</td>
<td>−1.36</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animacy = unknown</td>
<td>−0.38</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person = 1</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person = 2</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person = 3</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number = singular</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number = unknown</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quantifier = indefinite</td>
<td>−1.49</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quantifier = quantified</td>
<td>−1.23</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of modifiers</td>
<td>−0.39</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Estimate</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Presence of negation</td>
<td>−0.18</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence of modality</td>
<td>−0.22</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under an attitude verb</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AttitudeVerb * (Type = pronoun)</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AttitudeVerb * (Type = proper noun)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modal * (Type = pronoun)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modal * (Type = proper noun)</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negation * (Type = pronoun)</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negation * (Type = proper noun)</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negation * (Quantifier = indefinite)</td>
<td>−0.37</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negation * (Quantifier = quantified)</td>
<td>−0.36</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negation * (Number of modifiers)</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Recasens et al. 2013
Solve it

- Ontonotes
  - [http://catalog.ldc.upenn.edu/LDC2013T19](http://catalog.ldc.upenn.edu/LDC2013T19)

- MUC 7
  - [http://catalog.ldc.upenn.edu/LDC2001T02](http://catalog.ldc.upenn.edu/LDC2001T02)

- ACE 2003
  - [http://catalog.ldc.upenn.edu/LDC2001T02](http://catalog.ldc.upenn.edu/LDC2001T02)